

# MONETARY INSTITUTIONS AND MACROECONOMIC PERFORMANCE IN BRAZIL AFTER THE INFLATION TARGETING REGIME: THEORETICAL ASPECTS AND EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Elisangela Araújo

Associate Professor, Universidade Estadual de Maringá  
elaraujo@uem.br

Eliane Araújo

Associate Professor, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, and Researcher, CNPq  
elianedearaujo@gmail.com

Fernando Ferrari Filho

Professor of Economics, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, and Researcher, CNPq  
ferrari@ufrgs.br

## Abstract:

The objective of this study is to analyze theoretically and empirically the relationship between monetary institutions and macroeconomic performance in Brazil, in the period after the adoption of the Inflation Targeting Regime (ITR). The hypothesis is that the monetary institutions inhibited economic growth, rather than being effective at controlling inflation, whose main causes are of a structural and institutional nature and, not only linked to excess demand, as assumed by the ITR. In the investigation of this hypothesis, after the theoretical discussion of the ITR and of the performance of the Brazilian economy since the implementation of this Regime, we estimate a structural VAR model to understand the effects of monetary shocks on certain macroeconomic variables in the period 1999-2015. The main results of the research corroborate the initial hypothesis, suggesting that the existing institutional framework, embodied in the highly restrictive monetary policy, implied the following negative effects: a reduction in GDP growth rates, a rise in the stock of public debt and an appreciation of the domestic currency, which, however, has a modest impact on inflation. In this respect, revisions in the Brazilian monetary institutions would be essential to improve the results of the ITR, both in terms of actual inflation and economic performance. Such revisions should include: (i) coupling the objective of price stability with the commitment to maintain output stabilization; (ii) adopting a broader period of convergence to establish inflation targets; (iii) combining price stability with a policy to preserve exchange rate and financial stability; and (iv) implementing a new round of de-indexation of the Brazilian economy.

**Keywords:** Monetary Institutions, Macroeconomic Performance, Inflation Targeting Regime, Brazilian Economy.

**JEL Classification:** E02, E42, E06

**Area:** Monetary theory and institutions.

## 1. Introduction

Since the 1990s, despite the many institutional reforms that were introduced, the Brazilian economy has slowed down considerably, both in relation to its own historical trend and in comparison with other developing economies. Considering only the period following the adoption of the current institutional-monetary framework, the Inflation Targeting Regime (ITR), 1999-2015, the average growth was 2.74% per year, a performance both lower than the world average of 3.84% and lower than the other developing countries (5.73%),<sup>1</sup> which is especially disappointing.

Not only have growth rates been low and unstable, but several domestic macroeconomic indicators have also deteriorated and/or entered a worrisome trajectory since then. It should also be highlighted that (i) the behaviour of investment declined substantially in this period, maintaining low levels of 17.2% of GDP on average, (ii) the share of industry in GDP fell to levels similar to those prevailing in the 1950s (11% in 2014),<sup>2</sup> and (iii) the export basket tended toward reprimarization in a context of modest increases in productivity and the decreasing quality of international insertion.

Although the causes of this performance are varied and complex, the monetary institutions certainly played a central role in its explanation. This is because, after the adoption of the ITR in 1999, increasing the basic interest rate of the economy, that is, the Special System for Settlement and Custody (Selic), became the main tool for fighting inflation. This had the following adverse effects on the economy: (i) disincentive to private investment and economic growth; (ii) attracting speculative capital and tending to exchange rate appreciation, which negatively affected the current account balance; and (iii) increased spending on the public debt service, both directly, via the debt share indexed to the Selic rate, and indirectly, via rising risk premium, which increased the stock of public debt.

In view of the above, the central purpose of this paper is to give a theoretical and empirical discussion on the institutions for monetary stability in Brazil from the introduction of the ITR. We will highlight the ineffectiveness of this institutional framework to promote domestic growth and, in a broader sense, even its own economic stability. In addition to that, we will discuss how the Brazilian economy, since the introduction of the ITR, was found under a regime that has proven inadequate for the Brazilian reality, both theoretically and empirically.

In theoretical terms, the adoption of the ITR – supported in the orthodoxy of the New Consensus Macroeconomics (NCM) – has proven unable to capture the complex nature of domestic inflation, which is only partially explained by the conditions of demand, as assumed by the ITR. In empirical terms, the ITR has produced mediocre performance, of which the serious crisis affecting the country lately is only the most visible side of an economy marked by serious imbalances, particularly in the fiscal area and the external sector.

To achieve the objective, besides this introduction, the paper is divided into four sections. Section 2 presents the main foundations of the ITR and discusses the implementation of this Regime in Brazil. Section 3 analyzes the performance of the Brazilian economy under the ITR, highlighting the behaviour of the main macroeconomic indicators in the period 1999-2015. Section 4, the empirical part, tests the hypothesis that monetary institutions played a central role in the poor performance and, above all, contributed to the increase in the imbalances – internal

---

<sup>1</sup> GDP at constant prices, annual percentage change. Data extracted from IMF, World Economic Outlook Database, April 2016.

<sup>2</sup> See the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE), System of National Accounts.

and external – of the Brazilian economy in recent decades. Section 5 gives the concluding remarks and policy implications.

## **2. The Brazilian Central Bank (BCB) macroeconomic model of ITR and the Brazilian experience with ITR**

The institutions and their evolution, in the Veblenian sense and its modern followers – the neoinstitutionalists<sup>3</sup> –, have become a central element in the explanation of growth, specifically in the differences of the individual trajectories of each country.

From the institutional analysis, it is possible to understand that economic growth, which is a winding and uncertain process, is derived from the behaviour of individuals, individuals who prefer routines, standards, and incentives which, at an aggregate level, give shape to the institutions. These institutions, in turn, limit human action, creating a structure of incentives. It is therefore the evolution of the institutions, the direction of institutional change, from which economic growth derives (Hodgson, 1998; Rutherford, 1998; Conceição, 2001).

The monetary institutions in Brazil and their recent evolution form, in this sense, are an interesting case of the relationship between institutions and economic performance. Or better still, they form a case of how the existence of institutions is creating obstacles in the process of domestic economic growth. This is because the institutions' change over time failed to provide an adequate framework of incentives to influence key aspects such as private investment or, from a Keynesian perspective, to awaken the animal spirits of entrepreneurs, not to mention generate innovations, and organize and manage the work process and the macroeconomic policies.

As is commonly known, monetary stability has long been a major concern in the Brazilian economy, which over the last decades has promoted a series of institutional changes with the objective of achieving said stability. To this end, after the hyperinflation period, caused by the external debt crisis and the economic stagnation of the 1980s, the economy entered a new standard of development and international insertion, in the 1990s, based on the principles established by the Washington Consensus – trade and financial liberalization, deregulation, privatization of state-owned enterprises, fiscal discipline, among other measures – all of which have decisively influenced the performance of the economy since then.

Within the scope of institutions for monetary stability, as part of the introduced changes, the Real Plan (RP) was adopted in 1994, which had the exchange rate and the interest rate as its main instruments of stabilization – the so-called monetary anchor and the exchange rate anchor. It is important to note here that the trade and financial liberalization were a crucial part of this stabilization strategy. Monetary policy, through the management of the basic interest rate, was designed to attract foreign capital, which kept the exchange rate overvalued. At the time, it was decided that one dollar could not cost more than one unit of the domestic currency, the *real*, although it could cost less. That is, an asymmetrical exchange rate band was adopted with a ceiling but no floor or lower limit. This was intended to make the prices of imports more attractive than the domestic prices to prevent upward pressure on prices.

---

<sup>3</sup> It is worth noting that the concept of institutions varies according to the institutionalist viewpoint. For the old institutionalism, institutions fundamentally linked themselves to the set of common habits of individuals which is crucial in the formation and continuation of the institutions. For the New Institutional Economics (NIE), institution refers to the “rules of the game” in a society, especially the formal rules, which guide human relations. Finally, the neoinstitutionalists rescue the tradition of Veblen and the old institutionalism, but emphasize the evolutionary aspect, highlighting the importance of technology for economic change. See, for instance, Hodgson (1998) and Conceição (2001).

The high interest rate differential, in addition to contributing to financing the balance of payments, prevented further pressure on domestic demand, actions that ultimately kept inflation under control (Bacha, 1995). It is worth noting that the strategy led to a very low average growth rate in that decade of 1.64% per year. Because of this, throughout the 1990s it received the moniker “second lost decade”, in reference to the 1980s which was the first turbulent period.

Then in 1999, a major institutional change took place – the introduction of the ITR, a result of the change in the exchange rate regime, which has been floating ever since. Despite the change in the monetary regime, the rationale for conducting monetary policy did not, in essence, change. Monetary policy remains highly restrictive and the exchange rate continues to be one of the main transmission mechanisms of monetary policy.

Following this brief background on the monetary institutions in Brazil, we will present the macroeconomic model used by the BCB, which is firmly embedded in the NCM theory. We will also discuss the main features of the implementation of the ITR in Brazil. The NCM model emerged in the beginning of the 1990s, and since then has become highly influential in terms of both macroeconomic thinking and macroeconomic policy, especially monetary policy (Arestis and Sawyer, 2008).

In NCM, the ITR is seen as “state-of-the-art” and posits that the inflation rate should be low and stable for long-term growth. Supported in the theoretical and empirical elements of various stands of thought, notably in the new classical economics – of which include the hypothesis of rational expectations, the temporal inconsistency of monetary policy and the inflation bias –, the NCM implicitly brings out old ideas in macroeconomics, such as the existence of a natural rate of unemployment and the neutrality of currency in the long run (but not in the short run, due to the rigidity of prices and wages – the new Keynesian hypothesis).

The NCM model is characterized by three equations, as follows: the IS, in equation (1), representing the demand side; the Phillips curve (PC), in equation (2), representing the supply side; and the monetary policy rule (MPR) in the last equation, “derived from the government or central bank’s policy trade-off between output and inflation” (Fontanna, 2005).

$$\pi_t = (y_t - y^*_t) \quad (1)$$

$$(y_t - y^*_t) = h(X_t, R_t, Z_t) \quad (2)$$

$$r_t = r_t^* + \alpha(\pi_t - \pi_t^*) + \beta(y_t - \bar{y}_t) \quad (3)$$

Equations (1) and (2) relate the output gap respectively to the inflation rate ( $\pi_t$ ) and to a vector of exogenous variables ( $X_t$ ) and ( $R_t$ ) that are respectively net exports and primary surplus. The variable ( $Z_t$ ) is an error term. Equation (3), in turn, shows a simple rule for the policy conduct by the central bank, where the nominal interest rate ( $r_t$ ) is a function of the actual real effective interest rate ( $r_t^*$ ) and the difference between actual inflation ( $\pi_t$ ) and the inflation target rate ( $\pi_t^*$ ) and the output gap.

The MPR is the underpinning for the ITR. The rule establishes the central bank’s behaviour under conditions of demand shocks that deviate inflation from the target.<sup>4</sup> In this view,

---

<sup>4</sup> This rule for the conduct of monetary policy was systematized by John Taylor (1993) from the observation of the conduct of the Federal Reserve (FED). According to this, the FED sets the interest rate in order to achieve the inflation target, explicit or implicit, and to keep the economy growing close to its potential. This conduct could be

the instrument of monetary policy is the short-term nominal interest rate. When actual inflation rates converge to the target inflation rate established by the monetary authority, the policy interest rate is assumed to be at its natural level, balancing supply and demand in the goods market. This means that output is at the potential level, given the resources and technology available.

Regarding the Brazilian experience, the monetary authorities have adopted the NCM model since 1999, characterized by the ITR, a target for primary fiscal budget as share of GDP and floating exchange rate regime. Focusing our attention on monetary policy, the BCB has religiously followed the theoretical framework that underpins the ITR strategy. According to Arestis, Ferrari-Filho and Paula (2011), the main theoretical features of the BCB macroeconomic model, closely based on the NCM model, can be summarized as follows:

- (i) Price stability is monetary policy's primary long-term objective. In addition, the price stability goal may be accompanied by output stabilization so long as price stability is not violated.
- (ii) ITR is a monetary policy framework whereby public announcement of official inflation targets is required. In this approach, 'expected inflation' and the transparency of inflation forecasts is an important element of the policy.
- (iii) Monetary policy is the main instrument of macroeconomic policy, and it should not be operated by politicians, but by experts: 'independent' central banks.
- (iv) Fiscal policy is no longer viewed as a powerful macroeconomic instrument for stabilizing the economy. Hence, the economic authorities should adopt a fiscal target in terms of primary budget surplus.
- (v) The level of economic activity fluctuates around a supply-side equilibrium. This means that the level of effective demand does not play an independent role in the long-run level of economic activity.
- (vi) Finally, considering that Brazil is an open economy and has a long history of external imbalances and crises, exchange rate is of crucial importance because it transmits certain external effects to the interest rate and the inflation rate, among others. It is given that, in the BCB macroeconomic model, the ITR may lead to a more stable currency since it signals a clear commitment to price stability in a floating exchange rate system.

The Brazilian ITR has been modelled similarly to the British ITR model. The Brazilian National Monetary Council (CMN), which has three members, the Minister of Finance, the Minister of Planning, and the President of the BCB, sets the inflation targets. In June of each year, the CMN establishes the inflation targets and their corresponding intervals of tolerance for the next two years. Besides the inflation target, CMN is also responsible for the approval of the main norms regarding monetary and exchange rate policies, as well as the regulation of the financial system.

The interest rate target is set by the Monetary Policy Committee (COPOM) based on the Selic, an interest rate for overnight interbank loans, collateralized by those public debt bonds. The interest rate target is fixed for the period between the COPOM regular meetings.

The President of the BCB, though, has the power to change the Selic interest rate target anytime between regular COPOM meetings (every 45 days). This is made possible by the way the COPOM decides policy rates. It can introduce a monetary policy bias at its regular meetings, whereas the bias refers to easing or tightening of monetary policy between meetings.

---

modelled by a feedback rule, which relates (positively) the basic interest rate to the output gap and to the inflation deviation with respect to the stipulated target. The interest rate would rise when the economy was growing close to its potential level and/or inflation was approaching the established target and vice versa.

Immediately after the COPOM meetings, the BCB publishes an Inflation Report, which provides specific information on economic conditions, as well as the COPOM's inflation forecasts upon which changes in the Selic interest rate are determined. The objective of this report is to inform the public and the financial market about the goals, design, and implementation of monetary policy.

Another feature of the ITR in Brazil is that the price index considered by the BCB when setting the inflation target is the Broad Consumer Price Index (IPCA).<sup>5</sup> Since 2005, the desired target has been 4.5% per year, with lower and upper limits of 2.5% and 6.5% per year, which must be achieved within the calendar year. Moreover, the Selic interest rate, managed by the monetary authorities, is also the rate which pays a significant portion of the public debt bonds, so that these markets are linked.

After the adoption of the ITR, however, inflation remained at relatively high levels compared to the world economy average, which was 4.14%. Table 1, below, shows the point targets, the tolerance intervals, and inflation rates measure by the IPCA for 1999-2015. By examining Table 1, it is noticeable, on the one hand, that over this period the upper limits of tolerance were missed for the years 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2015 by a substantial margin, especially in 2002 and 2015, while in 2004 the inflation target was only met after it was raised by mid-2003. On the other hand, the inflation rates were above the point targets in nine years (1999, 2004-2005, 2008, and 2010-2015).

**Table 1. Inflation Targets and Inflation Rates (IPCA) in Brazil, %, 1999 to 2015**

Year	Resolution	Target (%)	Band (p.p.)	Lower and Upper Limits (%)	Effective Inflation (IPCA)
1999	2.615	8	2	6.0-10.0	8.94
2000	2.615	6	2	4.0-8.0	5.97
2001	2.615	4	2	2.0-6.0	7.67
2002	2.744	3.5	2	1.5-5.5	12.53
2003 <sup>1</sup>	2.842	3.25	2	1.25-5.25	9.3
	2.972	4	2.5	1.5-6.5	
2004 <sup>1</sup>	2.842	3.75	2.5	1.25-6.25	7.6
	3.108	5.5	2.5	3-8	
2005	3.108	4.5	2.5	2.0-7.0	5.69
2006	3.210	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	3.14
2007	3.291	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	4.46
2008	3.378	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	5.9
2009	3.463	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	4.31
2010	3.584	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	5.91
2011	3.748	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	6.5
2012	3.880	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	5.84

<sup>5</sup> The IPCA is checked by the IBGE through a monthly survey covering families with incomes between one and forty minimum salaries, in various metropolitan regions of Brazil. Since 2012, the percentage weight of the groups of items that make up the IPCA is as follows: Food and beverage: 23.12%, Transport: 20.54%, Housing: 14.62%, Health and personal care: 11.09%, Personal expenses: 9.94%, Clothing: 6.67%, Communication: 4.96%, Household items: 4.69%, and Education: 4.37%. So we can note that the total index is greatly influenced by external and internal shocks and also by the inertia due to the controlled prices.

2013	3.991	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	5.91
2014	4.095	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	6.41
2015	4.237	4.5	2	2.5-6.5	10.67

Source: BCB (2016a). Authors' own elaboration.

Note: (1) The Open Letter, from 21/1/2003, established adjusted targets of 8.5% for 2003 and 5.5% for 2004.

It may thus be concluded that the ITR in Brazil was not completely successful over the seventeen years of its implementation, mainly because it has not been effective in maintaining a low and stable inflation.

Despite the modest results of the effective inflation, monetary policy has been characteristically tight throughout the period following its adoption, placing Brazil in the ranking of countries with the highest interest rates in the world, with significant effects on macroeconomic performance. Table 2 shows the Selic interest rates and the economic growth rates from 1999 to 2015.

**Table 2. Nominal Selic Interest Rate and Growth Rates in Brazil, %, 1999 to 2015**

Year	Average Selic Interest Rate Per Year	Annual Selic Interest Rate, End of Period	Annual Growth Rate
1999	25.5	19	0.3
2000	17.4	15.75	4.3
2001	17.3	19	1.3
2002	19.2	25	3.1
2003	23	16.5	1.2
2004	16.4	17.75	5.7
2005	19.2	18	3.1
2006	15.2	13.25	4
2007	12	11.25	6
2008	12.7	13.75	5
2009	10.1	8.75	- 0.2
2010	9.9	10.75	7.6
2011	11.8	11	3.9
2012	8.6	7.25	1.8
2013	8.3	10	2.7
2014	11	11.75	0.1
2015	13.4	14.25	- 3.8

Source: BCB (2016b).

It is possible to observe, based on Table 2, that the relationship between monetary policy, mainly the Selic interest rate, and inflation is not direct. That is, despite the fact that price stability, under the ITR, has required an excessively rigid monetary policy, this relationship is, at most, weak. There is some evidence that high interest rates may cause weak economic activity.

From 1999 to 2015, GDP has followed a stop-and-go pattern and its average growth rate hovered around 2.7% per year, significantly below the average growth rate of other emerging countries that have adopted an ITR (Arestis, Ferrari-Filho and Paula, 2011; Ferrari Filho and Schifino, 2010). Thus, high interest rates cause serious constraint on economic growth, through the price of credit (loan rates) and entrepreneurs' poor expectations.

It is important to add that, in addition to the depressive effects on economic activity, the ITR has led to several serious imbalances, in both the internal sphere and the external sector, as we will discuss in the following section.

### 3. Internal and external imbalances resulting from the Brazilian ITR

Starting with the internal imbalances, to understand why they stem from the implementation of the ITR, it is important to know that a peculiarity of the Brazilian macroeconomic policy is the link between the money market and public debt through the provision, by the National Treasury (NT), of several public debt bonds (pre-fixed), paid both by the Selic interest rate and by certain prices indices. These bonds, it is worth noting, make up, at present, a significant portion of the domestic public debt in Brazil.<sup>6</sup>

Therefore, every time the Selic rate rises to curb inflation, there is a contagious effect on the public debt. This effect not only increases spending on interest payments, but also has implications on its growth, since a significant portion of the federal security debt is tied to the basic interest rate. As a result, since the debt stock has reached sufficiently high levels, further increases in interest are required as a risk premium, increasing the fiscal fragility of the Brazilian economy even more.

Some indicators of fiscal policy for the period 1999-2015 are presented in Table 3, which shows the primary result (total revenue minus total expenditure), the nominal result (the total government deficit, including interest and the monetary adjustment of the public debt), and the net debt of the public sector, as a percentage of GDP.

**Table 3: Indicators of Net Public Debt in Brazil, 1999-2015**

Year	Primary Result	Nominal Result	Net Public Debt	Selic Rate
1999	3.19	-5.78	35.10	25.5
2000	3.46	-3.61	36.50	17.4
2001	3.64	-3.57	42.40	17.3
2002	3.22	-4.58	44.70	19.2
2003	3.39	-5.42	43.30	23.34
2004	3.50	-3.61	42.63	16.24
2005	3.90	-3.10	43.13	19.05
2006	3.29	-3.78	46.30	15.07
2007	3.36	-2.84	50.15	11.87
2008	3.63	-2.08	49.76	12.48
2009	1.89	-3.19	48.95	9.93
2010	2.20	-2.82	48.06	9.75
2011	3.08	-2.24	47.16	11.62
2012	2.52	-2.40	46.18	8.49
2013	1.76	-2.70	44.55	8.22
2014	0.94	-3.82	44.67	10.90
2015	-0.79	-8.23	50.60	13.26

Source: BCB (2016c). Authors' own elaboration.

<sup>6</sup> According to the NT data, with reference to December 2015, the Brazilian public debt totalled around R\$2.8 trillion (50.6% of GDP). The configuration of the public debt bonds were as follows: pre-fixed at around 41.6%, price index approximately 34.9%, 18.7% were floating rate bonds and 4.9% was indexed at the exchange rate. Moreover, about 24% of the total public debt had a maturity of around 12 months and the average maturity of the bonds was 4.4 years (National Treasury, 2016).

As can be seen in Table 3, the trajectory of the public debt maintained a close relationship with the Selic, growing in times when the interest rates remained at high levels and vice versa. The first column of Table 3 shows the evolution of the primary result of the public sector. This result shows the amount of savings the government needs to have to meet the debt service and maintain its relatively stable trajectory. It is found that, in moments when interest rates are high, even high primary surpluses cannot stop the growth in debt.

Note also in Table 3 that, in 2015, primary surpluses were converted into deficits and the total deficit reached 8.2% of the GDP, impacting the strong expansion of the stock of public debt, which reached a peak of 50.6% of the GDP.

Among the main explanatory factors of this fiscal deterioration are (i) the low growth of the Brazilian economy since 2011, which reduced revenues, (ii) the strong increase in interest rates between 2012 and 2015, (iii) the exchange rate depreciation, and (iv) the increase in inflation. Given the pressure exerted on the public accounts, a strong fiscal adjustment began in 2015,<sup>7</sup> which left the Brazilian economy in an even more dramatic situation, resulting in a drop of 3.8% in the GDP that year.


Regarding the imbalances that the ITR implies in the external sector, a key aspect to highlight is the effects of internal-external interest rate differentials on the level of the exchange rate, which maintained a steady appreciation trajectory, notably between 2003 and 2010. This fact contributed to keeping inflation relatively low in this phase, given the downward pressure on domestic prices. However, this process has brought negative consequences for the Brazilian economy, of which the following can be mentioned: (i) a severe deterioration of the current account balance; (ii) a change in the trade balance composition, whose balance became highly dependent on primary products; and (iii) a sharp drop in the relative share of the manufacturing industry in GDP.

With respect to the effects on the current account balance, its evolution, in parallel with the behaviour of the real effective exchange rate, can be observed in Figure 1. It can be noted that the strong appreciation of the exchange rate was associated with a significant worsening of the current account, notably between 2003 and 2010.

---

<sup>7</sup> Under the command of the Finance Minister Joaquim Levy, a strong fiscal adjustment was implemented in order to achieve a primary surplus target of 1.2% of the GDP, equivalent to R\$66.3 billion. This would come from reducing spending (a cut in the budget of various ministries, changes in the labour and social security legislation, the end of exemptions in segments such as the exporter, and late payments) and increasing revenue (a rise in the taxes of various products and services, such as gasoline and electricity). Due to the deterioration in economic performance and after a number of revisions throughout the year, a surplus of around 0.8% of the GDP, around R\$51.8 billion was achieved in 2015.


**Figure 1: Current Account Balance (% of GDP) and Real Effective Exchange Rate, 1999-2015**



Source: BCB (2016d). Authors' own elaboration.  
 Note: Current account balance on the right axis.

As for the composition of the trade balance, which can be considered an important indicator of the competitiveness of an economy, it is possible to note that in Brazil exports have concentrated in commodities and lower value-added goods (Figure 2). As is commonly known, the dependence of the economy on the price trajectory of primary commodities and low-tech products is a problem because these are products whose prices and export volume are more sensitive to changes in the international environment. This sensitivity ends up increasing the external vulnerability of the country, especially in times when international liquidity cycles are reversed.


**Figure 2: Trade Balance by Technological Intensity, (millions of US dollars), 1999-2015**



Source: MDIC (2016). Authors' own elaboration.

Finally, with regard to the negative effects on the manufacturing industry, whose trajectory can be seen in Figure 3, the exchange rate appreciation that began in 1994 was associated with a structural change in the composition of national production, resulting in an extreme loss of the industry's share in the total economy.<sup>8</sup>

**Figure 3: Value Added of Manufacturing Industry (% of GDP), 1970-2013**



Source: IBGE (2016). Authors' own elaboration.

This significant decrease in the relative share of the industry in GDP signals the occurrence of a possible process of relative deindustrialization in the period after the liberalization of trade and after the Real Plan. This fact has been a major criticism of the model adopted by the Brazilian economy in the 1990s, which has the ITR as one of its central pillars.<sup>9</sup>

In the following section, an empirical analysis will be carried out to investigate the effects of the implementation of the institutional framework of monetary policy on the performance of the Brazilian economy.

#### **4. Empirical research on the effects of monetary shocks in Brazil**

As discussed in the previous section, the Brazilian economy has shown low and volatile growth rates, inflation above the target, an imbalance in the external sector and fiscal deterioration.

With the aim of deepening this discussion, this section tests the hypothesis that monetary institutions played a central role in this process, especially after the adoption of the ITR in 1999.

<sup>8</sup> It is worth mentioning that the relative decline in industrial value added started a little before the pre-opening period of trade, in the late 1980s. However, after 1994, the decline was accentuated, so that the loss of share of the industry in GDP could not be attributed to the normal process of economic development, in which, in the long run, the service sector advances relatively more and consequentially reduces the relative share of the industrial and agricultural sector.

<sup>9</sup> For evidence on the deindustrialization in Brazil, see Oreiro and Feijó (2010) and Nassif et al. (2014).

As already stated, after the adoption of the ITR, increasing the Selic rate became the main tool to fight inflation, having, however, considerable negative effects on the economy.

Hence, what we seek to investigate is how monetary shocks, represented by changes in the Selic rate, affected the variables central to the economic performance in Brazil. Specifically, the intention is to measure the effects of a rise in the Selic rate on prices, which is the main objective of monetary policy, and its adverse effects on (i) the GDP growth rate, (ii) the appreciation of the domestic currency, and (iii) the trajectory of spending on the public debt service.

The methodology employed is the Vector Autoregression (VAR)<sup>10</sup>, usually used to capture the effects of the monetary shocks in the economy. For a set of  $K$  of time series variables,  $y_t = (y_{1t}, \dots, y_{kt})$ , a VAR model captures the dynamic interactions between these variables. Its basic shape with an order  $p$  (VAR ( $p$ )) can be represented as follows:

$$y_t = A_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + A_p y_{t-p} + u_t,$$

where the  $A_p$ s are matrices of coefficients ( $K \times K$ ) and  $u_t = (u_{1t}, \dots, u_{kt})$  are the error terms, supposedly with zero mean and independent.

The variables that are used in the econometric analysis are as follows: Effective Selic (annualized); IPCA;  $y$  is the physical production index (*quantum*) of general industry (seasonally adjusted); RER is the real effective exchange rate; and Debt is the internal federal security debt as a proportion of GDP.

The Selic rate is provided by the BCB, the real effective exchange rate is obtained in Ipeadata, the industrial production index, the GDP and the IPCA are calculated by IBGE, and the stock of internal federal security debt is provided by the NT. The debt/GDP ratio was calculated by the authors and all the variables are used in logarithmic scale.

The period under analysis begins in January 2002 and ends in December 2015. The aim was to capture the period from the beginning of the ITR implementation, that is, June 1999. However, due to the fact that the industrial production series used started only in 2002, the period could not be altered.

The estimated VAR model is done with the difference of the logarithm of the series – a concept equivalent to the growth rate – to analyze the dynamic interactions between the variables, in which the Selic is the variable representing the monetary policy, the industrial production index represents the economic activity,<sup>11</sup> RER reveals the changes in the Brazilian exchange rate against its main trading partners, and Debt is the fiscal policy variable.<sup>12</sup>

As is known, the estimation of VAR with only lagged variables does not allow the identification of exogenous shocks in the model's variables without making hypotheses about the contemporary relationships between them. Thus, the model uses the Cholesky identification and the structural VAR as a tool to identify the effects of monetary shocks on the model's

---

<sup>10</sup> Details for the Vector Autoregression (VAR) methodology can be found in Hamilton (1994) and Enders (2005).


<sup>11</sup> The reason for using this proxy is because there are no data on the monthly GDP in Brazil. Thus, the series that is given is, in fact, an interpolation of the quarterly GDP. Moreover, the choice of the industry's GDP as a proxy for the total GDP of the economy is justified by the fact that the behaviour of these variables is quite similar, even if the industry's GDP represents less than 30% of Brazilian GDP.

<sup>12</sup> The choice of the VAR model is justified by the fact that all series used are  $I(1)$ , but do not have a cointegration relationship.

variables.<sup>13</sup> In the first method, the order was: *y*, IPCA, Selic, RER and Debt. In the second, only the contemporary relationships of the Selic and the IPCA in public debt were maintained, given the indexing. Regarding the other possible contemporary relationships, it is believed that, with monthly data, they are quite remote.

The results of a monetary policy shock can be seen in Figure 4 and in Figure 5, the accumulated results are presented.

**Figure 4: Effects of Monetary Shocks**



Source: Authors' own elaboration from the research data.

As for the effects of a rise in interest rates on industrial production, used here as a proxy for GDP, there is a clearly negative effect that is felt from the third month on and is reversed only after twelve months. The explanation for this is that an increase in interest rates discourages private investments, cools the economy and implies lower rates of economic growth.

As the series are stationary, the effects of a shock in the Selic on the industrial production start to dissipate after one year (Figure 4). But when the shocks are accumulated (Figure 5), the sum of the effects are clearly negative, so that a positive shock in interest rates leads to lower economic performance, represented here by the fall in the growth rates of industrial production.

As to the effects of a rise of the Selic on inflation, it is known that under ITR the aim of the BCB is to keep inflation within the established target. In this sense, it adjusts the monetary

<sup>13</sup> It can be highlighted that the results of these two methodologies pointed out that the behavioural patterns are very similar to the relationship between the variables studied, but it was decided to present the results of the structural VAR.

policy instrument, that is, the Selic rate, so that actual inflation converges toward the long-term inflation target. As observed in Figure 4, a shock in the Selic initially leads to an increase in prices, which only fall after ten months.

Several authors that have analyzed the effect of interest on prices in Brazil found the initial impact positive (Modenesi and Araújo, 2013; Luporini, 2007). Although the mainstream authors (Christiano et al., 1996; Sims, 1992; Sims and Zha, 1998) stress the occurrence of this unexpected effect as problems in the functional specification of the BCB's reaction function, especially due to the omission of variables, others (Palley, 1996; Arestis, 1992) justify this by the rise in production costs resulting from an increase in interest rates, which are quickly transmitted to the prices, thus explaining the positive effects of an increase in interest rates on prices. However, after the first months, the increase in interest rates contributes to the reduction in inflation, as assumed by the ITR.

Concerning the effects of a Selic rate rise on the exchange rate, in an environment where financial openness implied a renunciation of the management of the capital account and left the country subject to fluctuations in the capital flows, the rise in interest rates promotes an influx of capital into the Brazilian economy and contributes to the appreciation of the exchange rate, making Brazilian products more expensive than external ones.

Figure 4 shows an initially negative response in the exchange rate when faced with an increase in the Selic rate, followed by cyclical movements in the exchange rate. The cumulative effect of a shock in the Selic on the exchange rate is negative, as shown in Figure 5. Thus, increases in the Selic contribute to the appreciation of the exchange rate, a fact which can have important effects on the trajectory of growth, especially in emerging and developing economies, as many authors have pointed out.<sup>14</sup>

Finally, in relation to the effects of a monetary shock on the public debt, the Monetary Authority, to implement monetary policy, affects the fiscal policy, on the one hand, because the increase in the Selic rate increases the payment of the debt interest indexed to it, implying the need to increase the primary surplus to keep the debt/GDP ratio. On the other hand, a rise in the Selic rate may imply a wealth effect in reverse. This is because, as public debt bonds are indexed to the Selic rate, a rise in interest rates increases the financial wealth of the agents holding these bonds, which may end up stimulating the consumption of this population group.<sup>15</sup>

By analyzing Figures 4 and 5, the negative effects on the economy of a rise in interest rates on the debt ratio securities/GDP are observed: given an increase in the Selic rate, the public debt rises (Figure 5), despite the cyclical results arising from a non-cumulative shock in the Selic rate (Figure 4).


These results provide important insights for the analysis of the effects of monetary shocks in Brazil. For this reason, it is possible to highlight two central points: one concerns the functional problem of the transmission mechanisms of monetary policy and the other concerns the causes of inflation in Brazil, of which together contribute to a reduced effectiveness of monetary policy.

---

<sup>14</sup> In recent years a number of authors have defended the importance of the exchange rate as a key variable in a long-term economic growth strategy. According to them, to make a range of products with higher value added and technological intensity, a competitive exchange rate can result in higher economic growth in the long run. See, for example, Rodrik (2008), Williamson (2003) and Bresser et al. (2015).

<sup>15</sup> Several authors such as Oreiro and Amaral (2008), Barbosa (2006), Carneiro et al. (2006) and Pires (2008) have analyzed how the management of public debt in Brazil can undermine the effectiveness of monetary policy.

**Figure 5: Effects of Cumulative Monetary Shocks**



Considering the first point, that is, the existence of failures in the functioning of the monetary policy transmission mechanisms, several authors have pointed out that the existence of problems in these mechanisms implies the need for higher interest rates to contain rising prices.

The first problem, as already stated, is the significant share of public debt bonds indexed to the Selic rate in the federal security debt. Contrary to what advocates the transmission mechanism of monetary policy, via asset prices – according to which the increase in interest rates reduces the market price of securities and makes the holders of such assets poorer –, the public debt bonds indexed to the Selic, the so-called Financial Treasury Bills (LFTs), are characterized by the ineffectiveness of such mechanisms. This is because the increase in the Selic rate raises the income of LFT holders, which eliminates the negative impact of the interest on the price of securities and the wealth of its holders.<sup>16</sup>

Another problem that leads to the poor functioning of the transmission mechanisms of monetary policy arises from the structure and functioning of the Brazilian banking system. This is due to the obstruction of the interest rate channel and the credit channel. Carvalho (2005), for example, stresses that the absence of longer segments of financing in the Brazilian credit market, whose main focus is on short-term credit, implies that the stimuli generated by monetary policy are not transmitted to these segments, segments such as investment financing. Souza-Sobrinho (2003) and Carneiro et al. (2006), regarding the transmission channel of monetary policy via

<sup>16</sup> Theoretical and empirical analyses on this subject concerning the Brazilian economy can be found in Pastore (2006), Oreiro and Amaral (2008), and Andrade and Pires (2009).

credit, presented empirical evidence that its effectiveness is questionable in Brazil, due to factors such as reduced depth of the Brazilian financial system and the high share of directed credit in the total credit granted.

Another aspect that limits the functioning of the transmission mechanisms of monetary policy is the existence of regulated prices, which makes changes in interest rates have limited effect on the drop in prices. Sicsú and Oliveria (2003), for example, suggest that regulated prices are rather insensitive to the conditions of supply and demand because they are established by contract or government agency and do not react to the monetary policy. In Brazil since approximately 28% of the IPCA consists of regulated prices, monetary policy needs to be even more contractionary to induce inflation to the stipulated target, considering that this portion of prices does not respond to changes in interest rates.


Finally, as to the monetary policy transmission mechanism, it is worth highlighting the importance of the exchange rate to affect the price levels in Brazil. Several authors, including Belaisch (2003), Minella et al. (2003), Carneiro et al. (2004) and Minella and Correia (2005), have, in fact, presented estimates of the exchange rate pass-through coefficient for the Brazilian economy. An increase or decrease in interest rates results in variations in the entry and exit of foreign capital, causing an exchange rate depreciation when the inflow of capital is reduced and an appreciation when the inflow of capital is higher. This, in turn, significantly impacts the behaviour of inflation (Figure 6).

In addition to the direct effects of exchange rate variation on the price of tradable goods, there is also the indirect effect on the domestic prices, that being in the form of a variation in the cost of imported raw materials and inputs and the substitution effect of domestic products for similar imports, or vice-versa.

From these direct and indirect ways, the end result of a variation in the exchange rate is expressed in the rate of inflation. In Brazil, between 2004 and 2008, for example, there was a tendency toward exchange rate appreciation due to the increase in speculative investments and rising commodity exports, among other reasons. Although contributing to price control by means of an increase in competition with domestic products given the cheap imports, this appreciation proved harmful to some sectors of the economy as it increased external vulnerability, discouraged exports and generated deficits in the trade balance and the current account balances. The result was an increase in the fragility of the economy which left the country susceptible to exchange rate crises.

From 2008 on, although reversing in some moments, the exchange rate followed a trajectory of depreciation, accompanied by a rise in the inflation rate. Figure 6 illustrates the relationship between the exchange rate and the inflation rate in Brazil. The evidence shows that an appreciation in the exchange rate contributes to a reduction in inflation, whereas an exchange rate depreciation has been accompanied by rises in the rate of inflation. This indicates that the exchange rate has been a central mechanism in the transmission of monetary policy in Brazil.

**Figure 6: Relationship between Exchange Rate and Inflation, 1999-2015**



Source: BCB (2016-a). Authors' own elaboration.

Note: Exchange rate is on the right axis.

The conclusion regarding the transmission of monetary policy in Brazil is, therefore, that changes in monetary policy are not fully transmitted because of problems in the transmission mechanisms of asset prices, interest rates and credit. This ends up making the monetary policy effects highly dependent on the exchange rate level.

Regarding the second point, that is, on the relevant causes of domestic inflation under the ITR, the basic assumption is that inflation is a phenomenon essentially monetary in nature. This considers only demand inflation, which should be combated by raising interest rates, the main instrument of monetary policy. In this light, the strictly non-monetary causes of inflation, such as changing expectations, an inertia phenomenon and a shock in costs, are neglected. This clearly seems to be the case of the Brazilian economy.

An alternative analysis of the causes of inflation, however, can be found in Lavoie (1992), Davidson (1994) and Sicsú (2003). They offer a more comprehensive explanation of the causes of rising prices, such as the decision-making process of the leading price setters, the market structure, a certain rigidity of prices, interest rates, indices, exchange rates, wages and tariffs. In these cases, the inflationary process usually originates on the supply side, regardless of the conditions of demand and the level of employment.

That being so, although the contractionary monetary policy, via increases in interest rates, may be effective in reducing inflation that originates from supply shocks, it ends up attacking the effects of inflation and not its causes. To attack its causes would require the adoption of active economic policies such as industrial policy, tax policy, directed monetary policy and exchange rate policy, among others.

A suitable procedure, according to this alternative approach to the causes of inflation, would be to identify what the real cause of inflation is in each moment and to act with anti-inflationary measures commensurate with their different causes. This is because the use of contractionary monetary policy to contain an inflationary process originating on the supply side does not contribute to eliminating the cause of the problem. However, by reducing the activity and employment levels, the monetary authority can prevent a rise in costs from being fully

passed on to the prices. By reducing the inflationary phenomenon to purely demand inflation, the ITR may, therefore, compromise economic performance, generating an excessive rise in interest rates, which greatly affects output and employment.

In this regard, Modenesi and Araújo (2013) show that the sensitivity of inflation to interest rates is low.<sup>17</sup> Through the estimation of a VAR model, they conclude that an increase in the Selic rate has a reduced deflationary impact. Thus, the BCB would need to keep it at levels excessively high to have inflation targets minimally met. The effect of a Selic rise on the level of activity is clearly negative: the economy slows down. It is here that the authors identify a problem in the transmission mechanism: an increase in interest contracts aggregate demand; however, the economic slowdown is not fully transmitted to the prices. That is, the cooling of inflation is disproportionately less than the fall in economic activity.

In line with this analysis, the conclusion that can be drawn on the effects of monetary shocks in Brazil is that the problems related to the transmission of monetary policy, as well as the inflation diagnosis that is restricted to demand shocks, lead to a loss in the effectiveness of this policy under the ITR. This is either because the monetary policy decisions are not fully transmitted to the prices, or because the constant attack of demand inflation ends up softening the effects of inflation without, however, eliminating its causes.

## **5. Concluding remarks and economic policy implications**

This paper analyzed the relationship between monetary institutions and macroeconomic performance in Brazil, under the hypothesis that monetary policy has been ineffective with respect to controlling inflation, and at the same time has generated high costs for the Brazilian economy.

From a theoretical point of view, it was observed that Brazil's ITR follows the recommendations of the NCM in Monetary Policy, which implies (i) that the goal of monetary policy is to maintain price stability, (ii) that the interest rate is the main instrument of monetary policy, and (iii) that this should be high compared to the shocks affecting the economy in order to prevent the rate of inflation from staying above the target set by the Central Bank or the output growth being above the potential level.

With respect to the performance of the Brazilian economy since the adoption of the ITR, it was possible to observe that (i) inflation still remains at relatively high levels compared to international levels, (ii) interest rates have registered extremely high levels, and (iii) economic growth has been low and unstable, while the exchange rate has followed a tendency toward appreciation. In addition, the economy presented a tendency to expand internal and external imbalances, represented respectively by the deterioration of public accounts and balance of payments accounts.

One explanation for these results is that the monetary institutions have been less effective in achieving inflationary stability and, above all, economic growth. The fundamental question discussed was that the ineffectiveness of price control in Brazil has two main causes, namely, the existence of failures in the transmission mechanisms of monetary policy, and the diagnosis of inflation not always being correct, given that the ITR assumes that it is always a phenomenon of demand and that the interest rate must rise against these shocks so that inflation converges to the set target.

---

<sup>17</sup>Modenesi and Modenesi (2015) offered a literature review of this, citing Favero and Giavazzi (2002) and Holland (2006).

On the first point, the analysis pointed out that the changes in the Brazilian monetary policy are not fully transmitted to the output and the prices because there are problems in their transmission mechanisms relative to asset prices, interest rates and credit. This implies that, for the policy to take effect, the interest rate levels need to be higher and the exchange rate level, which is the main transmission channel of monetary policy in the country, needs to be at lower levels.

With respect to inflation being normally misdiagnosed, in the case of the Brazilian economy, it is possible to cite several other determinants of inflation. These are the decision-making process of the leading price setters, market structure, a certain rigidity of prices, interest rates, indices, exchange rates and wages. In these cases, although the contractionary monetary policy, via increases in interest rates, may be effective in reducing inflation that originates from supply shocks, it ends up attacking the effects of inflation and not its causes.

In view of the foregoing, the main observation that can be made is that, since the Brazilian monetary institutions have harmed macroeconomic performance, and at the same time been ineffective in controlling inflation, a redesign of these institutions is essential for the resumption of economic growth in Brazil.

Among the redesign suggestions for the monetary institutions, which in our view could help improve the results of effective inflation and economic performance, is the adoption of a more flexible version of the ITR, in which the objective of price stability is accompanied by a commitment to maintain the stability of output. This is indeed the case in some countries that have adopted this type of regime, for example, Australia and Canada.

Other flexible versions of the ITR could be the adoption of a broader period of convergence for the set inflation targets, for example, to eighteen and twenty-four months, and the use of a core inflation measure that captures the tendency of prices and disregards disturbances resulting from temporary shocks captured through the use of full indices. All these changes, without harming stability, could be more appropriate for a developing economy like Brazil.

In addition to economic growth, some authors, like Yellen (2014), suggest that monetary policy can have exchange rate stability as one of its goals. The empirical evidence presented in this research showed that exchange rate had a tendency to appreciate and, despite its benefits for controlling prices, the exchange rate appreciation implied deficits in the current account balance of payments and contributed to the strong tendency to reprimarization of the export basket and the deindustrialization that is taking place in the Brazilian economy. In this sense, the adoption of measures that allow the management of the exchange rate and that lead this variable to more appropriate levels of economic growth would be essential and would encompass the reduction of the interest rate, the purchase of international reserves and the adoption of measures of capital controls.

One last suggestion would be to work on the problems that involve failures in the transmission mechanisms of monetary policy in Brazil, highlighting here the question of price indexation. As mentioned earlier, the index is still an important component of Brazilian prices, either because a large part of the administered prices is corrected according to an indexation rule, or because a significant portion of the public debt bonds are indexed to the interest rate which is the instrument of monetary policy.

In light of this, it is important that some measures are taken to reverse the inflationary inertia. Such measures can include changes in the composition of the public debt, prioritizing fixed-income securities to the detriment of indexed, and having the Brazilian government

endeavour to adopt measures involving de-indexation of public tariffs and other regulated prices currently existing in the economy.

## REFERENCES

ANDRADE, J. P.; PIRES, M. C. C. (2009). A transmissão da política monetária pelo canal do efeito riqueza no Brasil. In: Oreiro, J. L.; Paula, L. F.; Sobreira, R. (orgs.), *Política Monetária, Bancos Centrais e Metas de Inflação*. Rio de Janeiro: FGV Editora, pp. 209-235.

ARESTIS, P. (1992), *The Post-Keynesian approach to economics: an alternative analysis of economic theory and policy*. Vermont: Edward Elgar.

ARESTIS, P.; SAWYER, M. (2008). New consensus macroeconomics and inflation targeting: a Keynesian critique. *Economia e Sociedade*, v. 17, número especial, pp. 631-655, Dezembro.

ARESTIS, P.; FERRARI-FILHO, F.; PAULA, L. F. (2011). Inflation targeting in Brazil, *International Review of Applied Economics*, v. 25, n.2, pp. 127-148.

BACHA, E. L. (1995). Plano Real: Uma avaliação preliminar. *Revista do BNDES*, vol. 2, n. 3, junho, pp. 3-26.

BANCO CENTRAL DO BRASIL (BCB) (2016a). *Histórico de Metas para a Inflação no Brasil*. <https://www.bcb.gov.br/Pec/metas/TabelaMetaseResultados.pdf>. Accessed on January 14, 2016.

\_\_\_\_\_. (2016b). *Economia e finanças: séries temporais*. <http://www.bcb.gov.br>. Accessed on January 14, 2016.

\_\_\_\_\_. (2016c). *Notas Econômico-Financeiras. Setor Externo*. <http://www.bcb.gov.br>. Accessed on January 14, 2016.

\_\_\_\_\_. (2016d). *Balanco de Pagamentos*. <http://www.bcb.gov.br>. Accessed on January 14, 2016.

BARBOSA, F. H. (2006). The contagion effect of public debt on monetary policy: the Brazilian experience. *Revista de Economia Política*, v. 26, n. 2, pp. 231-238, Abril-Junho.

BELAISCH, A. (2003). Exchange rate pass-through in Brazil. *IMF Working Papers*, nº.141.

BRAZILIAN CENTRAL BANK (BCB) (2016). *Séries Temporais*. <http://www.bcb.gov.br>. Accessed on January 14, 2016.

BRESSER-PEREIRA, L. C.; OREIRO, J. L.; MARCONI, N. (2015). *Developmental Macroeconomics*. London: Routledge.

- CARNEIRO, D.D.; SALLES, F.M.; WU, T.Y.H. (2006). Juros, câmbio e as imperfeições do canal de crédito. *Economia Aplicada*, v. 10, n. 1, Janeiro-Março.
- CARVALHO, F. J. C. (2005). Uma contribuição ao debate em torno da eficácia da política monetária e algumas implicações para o caso do Brasil. *Revista de Economia Política*, v. 25, n. 4, Outubro-Dezembro.
- CHRISTIANO, L.; EICHENBAUM, M.; EVANS, C. L. (1996), “The Effects of monetary policy shocks: evidence from the flow of funds”. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, v. 78 (1), pp.16-34.
- CONCEIÇÃO, O. A. C. (2001). *Instituições, crescimento e mudança na ótica Institucionalista*. Tese de Doutorado em Economia, *Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul*, Porto Alegre.
- DAVIDSON, P. (1994). *Post Keynesian Macroeconomic Theory*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- ENDERS, W. *Applied econometric time series*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- FAVERO, C. A. e GIAVAZZI, F. (2002). “Why are Brazil’s interest rates so high?”. IGER Working Paper, 224.
- FERRARI FILHO, F.; SCHIFINO, L.A. (2010). *Performance* macroeconômica sob o regime de metas de inflação: uma análise para alguns países emergentes selecionados. *Análise Econômica*, v. 28, n.54, pp.117-140.
- FONTANNA, G. (2009). Whither New Consensus Macroeconomics? The Role of Government and Fiscal Policy in Modern Macroeconomics. *Working Paper 563, The Levy Economics Institute*.
- HAMILTON J. D. *Time series analysis*. Princenton: Princeton University Press, 1994.
- HOLLAND, M. (2006). “Por que as taxas de juros de curto prazo são tão elevadas no Brasil?”. *Economia & Tecnologia*, v. 4, pp. 27-42.
- HODGSON, G. M. (1998). The approach of institutional economics. *Journal of Economic Literature*, v. 36, n. 1, pp. 166-192.
- INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DE GEOGRAFIA E ESTATÍSTICA (IBGE) (2016). *Sistema de Contas Nacionais*. <http://www.ibge.gov.br>. Accessed on January 14, 2016.
- IMF (2016). <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2016/01/weodata/index.aspx>. Accessed on January 14, 2016.
- IPEADATA (2016). *Séries Históricas*. <http://www.ipeadata.gov.br>. Accessed on January 14, 2016.

LAVOIE, M. (1992). *Foundations of Post-Keynesian Economic Analysis*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

MINISTÉRIO DO DESENVOLVIMENTO, INDÚSTRIA E COMÉRCIO EXTERIOR (2016). *Estatísticas do Comércio Exterior*. <http://www.mdic.gov.br>. Accessed on January 14, 2016.

MODENESI, A.; ARAÚJO, E.C. (2013). Price stability under inflation targeting in Brazil: an empirical analysis of the monetary policy transmission mechanism based on a VAR model (2000-2008). *Investigación Económica*, vol. LXXII, n. 283, pp. 99-133.

MINELLA, A.; CORREA, A. S. (2005). Mecanismos não-lineares de repasse cambial: um modelo de Curva de Phillips com Threshold para o Brasil. *Anais do XXXIII Encontro Nacional de Economia*.

MINELLA, A.; FREITAS, P.S.; GOLDFAJN, I.; MUINHOS, M.K., (2003). Inflation targeting in Brazil: constructing credibility under exchange rate volatility. *Working Paper Series n° 77*. Brasília: Banco Central do Brasil.

NASSIF, A.; FEIJO, C.; ARAÚJO, E. (2015). “Structural Change and Economic Development: is Brazil Catching-up or Falling-Behind?”. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, v. 39, September: 1307-1332.

OREIRO, J. L.; AMARAL, R. Q. (2008). Relação Entre o Mercado de Dívida Pública e a Política Monetária no Brasil. *Revista de Economia Contemporânea*, v. 13, n. 3, pp. 491-517, Setembro-Dezembro.

OREIRO, J. L.; FEIJÓ, C. A. (2010) “Desindustrialização: conceituação, causas, efeitos e o caso brasileiro”. *Revista de Economia Política*, 30 (2): 219-232.

PALLEY, T. I. (1996). *Post Keynesian Economics*. Nova York: St. Martin's.

PASTORE, A. C. (2006). As Letras Financeiras do Tesouro e a Eficácia da Política Monetária. In: Bacha, E.L.; Oliveira Filho, L.C. (orgs.). *Mercado de Capitais e Dívida Pública*. Rio de Janeiro: Contra Capa Livraria.

PIRES, M. C. C. (2008). *Interação entre política monetária e fiscal no Brasil em modelos robustos a pequenas amostras*. Tese de Doutorado, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Economia, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília.

RUTHERFORD, M. (1998). Veblen's evolutionary programme: a promise unfulfilled. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, v. 22, n. 4, pp. 463-477.

RODRIK, D. (2008). The real exchange and economic growth: theory and evidence. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 365-412

SICCSÚ, J. (2003). Políticas Não-Monetárias de Controle da Inflação: uma proposta pós-keynesiana. *Análise Econômica*, 21(1), pp. 115-136, Janeiro-Março.

SICCSÚ, J.; OLIVEIRA, S. C. (2003). Taxa de juros e controle da Inflação no Brasil. In: Sicsú, J.; Oreiro, J.L.; Paula, L.F. (orgs.). *Agenda Brasil: políticas econômicas para o crescimento com estabilidade de preços*. Barueri: Editora Manole.

SIMS, C. (1992). Interpreting the macroeconomic time series facts: the effects of monetary policy. *European economic Review*, v. 36(5), pp. 975-1000.

SIMS, C. e ZHA, T. (1998). Does monetary policy generate recessions? *Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, Working Paper 12*.

SOUZA-SOBRINHO, N.F. (2003). *Uma Avaliação do Canal de Crédito no Brasil*. Rio de Janeiro: BNDES, 25º Prêmio BNDES de Economia.

TESOURO NACIONAL (2015). *Relatório Anual Dívida Pública Federal 2015*. [http://www.Tesouro.fazenda.gov.br/documents/10180/269444/RAD\\_Relat%C3%B3rio\\_Anuar\\_2015\\_.pdf/b95e87d0-1546-45e3-b01c-a10d48ec97d8](http://www.Tesouro.fazenda.gov.br/documents/10180/269444/RAD_Relat%C3%B3rio_Anuar_2015_.pdf/b95e87d0-1546-45e3-b01c-a10d48ec97d8). Accessed on January 14, 2016.

WILLIAMSON, J. (2003). *Exchange rate policy and development. Presented in Initiative for Policy Dialogue Task Force on Macroeconomics*. New York: Columbia University.

YELLEN, J. (2014). Many Targets, Many Instruments: Where Do We Stand?. In: Akerlof, G.; Blanchard, O.; Romer, D.; Stiglitz, J. (eds.). *What Have We Learned? Macroeconomic Policy after the Crisis*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.